

TECHNICAL NOTE

Subject:	Geoenvironmental Desk Top Review
Prepared By:	Lawrence Truslove
Date:	11/11/2019
Note No:	1
Job No:	44806
Job Name:	Land at Jacobean Lane, Knowle.

ltem	Subject					
1.	Introduction					
	IM Land have commissioned Peter Brett Associates (now part of Stantec) to carry out a high level geoenvironmental desk top review of the site known as Jacobean Lane, Knowle. The site lies adjacent to the east of Jacobean Lane at approximate grid reference 417709E, 278435N an the extent of the site is shown in Figure 1 below. It is understood that the site was used as a landfill historically.					
	A walkover of the study site was not carried out as part of this assessment.					
	The following information in the public domain has been used in the preparation of this review:					
	 Environmental information supplied by Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (SMBC) (ref. 151954), dated 13/11/19. Warwickshire County Council response to enquiry under the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (ref. 5016060), dated 18/10/19. Response to enquiry under the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 from the Environment Agency (ref. 147069), dated 29/10/19. Online geological mapping (http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html). MAGIC website (https://magic.defra.gov.uk/). Old maps website (https://www.old-maps.co.uk/#/). A Groundsure report (ref. 18255880), dated 25/07/19, supplied by IM Land was also reviewed.					
2.	Site Details					
	<u>Site Description</u> - The site comprises grassland bordered to the north and west by Jacobean Lane, to the east by the Grand Union Canal and to the south by a residential property and farmland. From study of online imagery and elevation data the study site has a slightly domed appearance with the central part of the site marking the highest point and the surface sloping downwards some 2m towards the edges of the site					

DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

	Technical Note No	Rev	Date	Prepared	Checked	Reviewed (Discipline Lead)	Approved (Project Director)
	44806/3502/TN001	-	25.11.19	L Truslove	D Bissell	D Bissell	D Griffiths
Peter Brett Associates LLP disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this report. This							
eport has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the Client and generally in accordance with							

report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the Client and generally in accordance with the appropriate ACE Agreement and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigations and testing devoted to it by agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and Peter Brett Associates LLP accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk. © Peter Brett Associates LLP 2019

Peter Brett Associates LLP 11 Prospect Court Courteenhall Road, Blisworth Northampton NN7 3DG T: +44 (0)1604 878 300 E: northampton@peterbrett.com

J:\44806 Jacobean Lane Knowle\Word\Technical Notes\TN001 Geoenvironmental Desk Top Review.docx

TECHNICAL NOTE

Item



J:\44806 Jacobean Lane Knowle\Word\Technical Notes\TN001 Geoenvironmental Desk Top Review.docx

Warwickshire before local government was reorganised in 1974 and is currently within the



TECHNICAL NOTE

ltem	Subject					
	jurisdiction of Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council, both Warwickshire County Council (WCC) and SMBC were contacted for information.					
	WCC reported that they hold no data on the landfill site and the EA and SMBC advise that the fill material was excess motorway construction material. Typically, such materials would be surplus topsoil and subsoils. Neither the EA nor SMBC had any further details of note concerning the landfill.					
	<u>Contaminated Land</u> SMBC advise that that the study site is ' <i>not "contaminated land" within the strict definition of</i> <i>land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990'</i> and they could find no evidence file which would lead them to review this.					
	SMBC report that there have not been any notices served on the site or any enforcement action taken in respect of contaminated land.					
	The EA is the regulator for 'special sites' in respect to Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. In their response they confirm that 'as of 23rd October 2019 there are no special sites in the vicinity of the site'. They go on to state that they 'are not currently inspecting this or the adjacent land as a 'potential special site' on behalf of the local authority, and have received no notification from that authority that the land has been determined to be 'contaminated land'.					
3.	Conclusions					
	• The study site was historically farmland with a small area occupied in the 1960s by a light industrial 'works'.					
	 The study site was the subject of what was likely to have been a short-lived tipping exercise, undertaken before 1974, of excess materials from motorway construction work. The tipped materials are likely to have been surplus excavated arisings. 					
	 Following the tipping the site was returned to agriculture. The source of the "topsoil" cover placed over the tipped material is not known. 					
	 The site is not identified as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 by Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council or as a special site with respect to contaminated land by the Environment Agency. 					
	 The history, previous usage and the tipping at the site are not considered to present a barrier to future development of the study site. 					
	 If development of the site were being considered it is recommended that a phase 1 geoenvironmental ground condition assessment be carried out to support any planning application. 					