



Solihull MBC Local Plan Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For
official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Solihull Local Plan (Draft Submission)
2020

Please return to psp@solihull.gov.uk or Policy and Engagement, Solihull MBC, Solihull, B91 3QB BY Monday 14th December 23:59

Our Privacy Notice can be found at <https://www.solihull.gov.uk/About-the-Council/Data-protection-FOI/Solihull-Council-Statement/Economy-and-Infrastructure/Policy-Engagement>

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	<input type="text" value="MR"/>	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="Martyn"/>	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="Smith"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text" value="NA"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text" value="NA"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 2	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>	<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address	<input type="text" value="REDACTED"/>	<input type="text"/>

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="No"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="No"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="No"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The process of community involvement has not been effectively conducted: - local Elmdon residents were not aware of the potential relocation of the HWRC and Depot until the Liberal Democrats raised a petition in October 2020
The Liberal Democrats and residents have been kept in the dark for four years. The Conservatives have since voted down a proposal to extend consultation to 12 weeks to give residents time to respond. (Shameful)
(Ref :-The SCI (para 41,42,43) LPA's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of plans and the consideration of planning applications). Solihull Council have past history of not involving the residents near to development sites. Eg JLR despatch site.

Sustainability Appraisal [SA] The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

The SA states the low point on Damson Lane is known to flood significantly during rainfall events, so development at this point will be required to provide flood alleviation benefits to protect existing and proposed infrastructure. This is too large a risk when coupled with the other planned development such as housing.

Justified – The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

Effective - The effects of congestion, noise, fumes and pollution on existing residents, road users and pedestrians have not been properly considered and will result in road chaos and significant damage to the area and quality of life for local people.

Damson Parkway, Hampton Lane, Solihull By-pass and Coventry Road already get backed up by traffic and gridlocked when incidents happen or weather is bad.

Damson Parkway traffic noise is already at an unpleasant deafening level that will only be exasperated by increased volumes of traffic and larger vehicles driving to the tip from all over the borough and the proposed new housing development.

This high noise level has been recognised in the past and at one time the road surface was resurfaced with a noise reducing surface that is no longer effective.

It's hard to hold a conversation when walking as traffic passes.

This noise must be intolerable to residents of homes near to the road and will get worse with no way to mitigate increased noise.

There is already the congestion, noise, exhaust and paint fume pollution caused by JLR, the dispatch depot and logistics centre.

The HWRC and Depot site access points have not been addressed yet.

So how can traffic effect have been competently assessed?

New housing to come, not to mention nearby HS2.

Future unspecified expansion within UK2 of Jaguar Land Rover, Birmingham Airport together with yet unidentified waste, recycling and complimentary businesses will add to the detrimental effect on what was a lovely area to live in.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

--

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

no

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)


Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature:



Date:

08/12/2020

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="NO"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="NO"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="NO"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The process of community involvement has not been effectively conducted: - local Elmdon residents were not aware of the potential relocation of the HWRC and Depot until the Liberal Democrats raised a petition in October 2020

The Liberal Democrats and residents have been kept in the dark for four years.

The Conservatives have since voted down a proposal to extend consultation to 12 weeks to give residents time to respond. (Shameful)

(Ref :-The SCI (para 41,42,43) LPA's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of plans and the consideration of planning applications).

Solihull Council have past history of not involving the residents near to development sites. Eg JLR despatch site.

Sustainability Appraisal [SA] The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered.

Other sites should be included.

The SA states the low point on Damson Lane is known to flood significantly during rainfall events, so development at this point will be required to provide flood alleviation benefits to protect existing and proposed infrastructure. This is too large a risk when coupled with the other planned development such as housing.

Justified – The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives.

In reality this implies no other site will be considered.

Other sites should be included.

Effective - The effects of congestion, noise, fumes and pollution on existing residents, road users and pedestrians have not been properly considered and will result in road chaos and significant damage to the area and quality of life for local people.

Damson Parkway, Hampton Lane, Solihull By-pass and Coventry Road already get backed up by traffic and gridlocked when incidents happen or weather is bad.

Damson Parkway traffic noise is already at an unpleasant deafening level that will only be exasperated by increased volumes of traffic and larger vehicles driving to the tip from all over the borough and the proposed new housing development.

This high noise level has been recognised in the past and at one time the road surface was resurfaced with a noise reducing surface that is no longer effective.

It's hard to hold a conversation when walking as traffic passes.

This noise must be intolerable to residents of homes near to the road and will get worse with no way to mitigate increased noise.

There is already the congestion, noise, exhaust and paint fume pollution caused by JLR, the dispatch depot and logistics centre.

The HWRC and Depot site access points have not been addressed yet.

So how can traffic effect have been competently assessed?

New housing to come, not to mention nearby HS2.

Future unspecified expansion within UK2 of Jaguar Land Rover, Birmingham Airport together with yet unidentified waste, recycling and complimentary businesses will add to the detrimental effect on what was a lovely area to live in.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

NO

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

08/12/2020