METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL	Solihull MBC Local Plan Publication Stage Representation Form						Ref: (For official use only)
Name of the Local Plan to v relates:	vhich this repres	entatio	on			Solihull Local Plan (Dı 2020	raft Submission)
Please return to psp@solihu B91 3QB BY Monday 14 <sup>th</sup> De Our Privacy Notice can be four protection-FOI/Solihull-Counci	ecember 23:59 and at https://www.	solihull	.gov.u	k/A	bo	ut-the-Council/D	ata-
This form has two parts – Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once. Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.							
Part A							
1. Personal Details*						2. Agent's Deta applicable)	ails (if
*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable) boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.							
Title	MR						
First Name	Matthew						
Last Name	Smith						
Job Title	NA						
(where relevant) Organisation	NA			Π			
(where relevant)	11/1			I			
Address Line 1							
	-						

Line 2

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address		
(where relevant)		

Part B - Pleas representatio		e a sep	arate	she	et foi	each
Name or Organisation:						
3. To which part of the l	_ocal Plan	does this rep	resentatio	n relat	:e?	
Paragraph 105	Policy	P12	Policies	Мар	UK2	
4. Do you consider the L	_ocal Plan i	is :				
4.(1) Legally compliant		Yes			No	No
4.(2) Sound		Yes			No	
						No
4 (3) Complies with the	-					
No						
Duty to co-operate		Yes			No	
Please tick as appropriate						

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The process of community involvement has not been effectively conducted: -

local Elmdon residents were not aware of the potential relocation of the HWRC and Depot until the Liberal Democrats raised a petition in October 2020

The Liberal Democrats and residents have been kept in the dark for four years. The Conservatives have since voted down a proposal to extend consultation to 12 weeks to give residents time to respond. (Shameful)

(Ref:-The SCI (para 41,42,43) LPA's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of plans and the consideration of planning applications). Solihull Council have past history of not involving the residents near to development sites. Eg JLR despatch site.

**Sustainability Appraisal** [SA] The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

The SA states the low point on Damson Lane is known to flood significantly during rainfall events, so development at this point will be required to provide flood alleviation benefits to protect existing and proposed infrastructure. This is too large a risk when coupled with the other planned development such as housing.

**Justified** – The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

**Effective** - The effects of congestion, noise, fumes and pollution on existing residents, road users and pedestrians have not been properly considered and will result in road chaos and significant damage to the area and quality of life for local people.

Damson Parkway, Hampton Lane, Solihull By-pass and Coventry Road already get backed up by traffic and gridlocked when incidents happen or weather is bad.

Damson Parkway traffic noise is already at an unpleasant deafening level that will only be exasperated by increased volumes of traffic and larger vehicles driving to the tip from all over the borough and the proposed new housing development.

This high noise level has been recognised in the past and at one time the road surface was resurfaced with a noise reducing surface that is no longer effective.

It's hard to hold a conversation when walking as traffic passes.

This noise must be intolerable to residents of homes near to the road and will get worse with no way to mitigate increased noise.

There is already the congestion, noise, exhaust and paint fume pollution caused by JLR, the dispatch depot and logistics centre.

The HWRC and Depot site access points have not been addressed yet.

So how can traffic effect have been competently assessed?

New housing to come, not to mention nearby HS2.

Future unspecified expansion within UK2 of Jaguar Land Rover, Birmingham Airport together with yet unidentified waste, recycling and complimentary businesses will add to the detrimental effect on what was a lovely area to live in.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

			(Continue on a	separate she	et /expan	d box if necessary)
evidence and your further of <b>After thi</b>	and suppor suggested oportunity t s stage, fu or, based o	r representation ting information modification(s). o make submisserther submissen the matters	n necessary to You should sions.  ions may o	o support y not assum nly be mad	our repr e that yo de if inv	resentation ou will have a rited by the
		tion is seeking a ate in examinat			an, do yo	ou consider it
	N - T - I -				V T.	
no	participa	not wish to ate in session(s)			<b>Yes</b> , I w	
	wish to par this to be n	ticipate in the hecessary:	nearing session	on(s), pleas	se outlin	e why you
<b>Please note</b> the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.						e in
9. Signati	ure:			Date:		08/12/2020

Part B - Plea representation		e a se <sub>l</sub>	oarate	she	et fo	r each
Name or Organisation:						
3. To which part of the	Local Plan	does this r	epresentatio	n relate	e?	
Paragraph 831	Policy	P12	Policies	Мар	UK2	
4. Do you consider the 4.(1) Legally compliant		is : Yes			No	
4.(2) Sound		Yes			No	NO
						NO
4 (3) Complies with the	2					
NO						
Duty to co-operate		Yes			No	
Please tick as appropriate						

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The process of community involvement has not been effectively conducted: - local Elmdon residents were not aware of the potential relocation of the HWRC and Depot until the Liberal Democrats raised a petition in October 2020

The Liberal Democrats and residents have been kept in the dark for four years. The Conservatives have since voted down a proposal to extend consultation to 12 weeks to give residents time to respond. (Shameful)

(Ref:-The SCI (para 41,42,43) LPA's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of plans and the consideration of planning applications). Solihull Council have past history of not involving the residents near to development sites. Eg JLR despatch site.

**Sustainability Appraisal** [SA] The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

The SA states the low point on Damson Lane is known to flood significantly during rainfall events, so development at this point will be required to provide flood alleviation benefits to protect existing and proposed infrastructure. This is too large a risk when coupled with the other planned development such as housing.

**Justified** – The plan only shows the UK2 site and no reasonable alternatives. In reality this implies no other site will be considered. Other sites should be included.

**Effective** - The effects of congestion, noise, fumes and pollution on existing residents, road users and pedestrians have not been properly considered and will result in road chaos and significant damage to the area and quality of life for local people.

Damson Parkway, Hampton Lane, Solihull By-pass and Coventry Road already get backed up by traffic and gridlocked when incidents happen or weather is bad.

Damson Parkway traffic noise is already at an unpleasant deafening level that will only be exasperated by increased volumes of traffic and larger vehicles driving to the tip from all over the borough and the proposed new housing development.

This high noise level has been recognised in the past and at one time the road surface was resurfaced with a noise reducing surface that is no longer effective.

It's hard to hold a conversation when walking as traffic passes.

This noise must be intolerable to residents of homes near to the road and will get worse with no way to mitigate increased noise.

There is already the congestion, noise, exhaust and paint fume pollution caused by JLR, the dispatch depot and logistics centre.

The HWRC and Depot site access points have not been addressed yet.

So how can traffic effect have been competently assessed?

New housing to come, not to mention nearby HS2.

Future unspecified expansion within UK2 of Jaguar Land Rover, Birmingham Airport together with yet unidentified waste, recycling and complimentary businesses will add to the detrimental effect on what was a lovely area to live in.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

		(Continue on a	a separate sheet /expan	d box if necessary)			
ev an fun Af In ex	idence ar id your su ither oppo iter this s ispector, caminatio		o support your rep not assume that young nly be made if inv he or she identif	resentation ou will have a vited by the ïes for			
		epresentation is seeking a modificatio o participate in examination hearing s		ou consider it			
	NO	<b>No</b> , I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)	<b>Yes</b> , I particip				
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.							
		ish to participate in the hearing sessions to be necessary:	on(s), please outlin	ie why you			
<b>Please note</b> the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.							
0	Ciarat		I Data:	T 00/12/2020			
9.	Signature	5:	Date:	08/12/2020			