

## **Solihull MBC Local Plan**

Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Regulation 19

Please return to <a href="mailto:psp@solihull.gov.uk">psp@solihull.gov.uk</a> or Policy and Engagement, Solihull MBC, Solihull, B91 3QB BY Monday 14<sup>th</sup> December 23:59

Our Privacy Notice can be found at https://www.solihull.gov.uk/About-the-Council/Data-protection-FOI/Solihull-Council-Statement/Economy-and-Infrastructure/Policy-Engagement

This form has two parts -

Part A - Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

1. Personal Details* *If an agent is appointed, please of boxes below but complete the full	complete only the Title, Name and Organisation	2. Agent's Details (if applicable) on (if applicable)
boxes below but complete the full	contact details of the agent in 2.	
Title		Mr
First Name		Michael
Last Name		Robson
Job Title		
(where relevant)		
Organisation	Kler Group Ltd c/o agent	Cerda Planning
(where relevant)		· · · · ·
Address Line 1		5-7 High Street
		0.116.11
Line 2		Sutton Coldfield
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code		B72 1XH
Telephone Number		
		<u> </u>
E-mail Address		
(where relevant)		

## Part B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:						
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?						
Paragraph Policy	KN2 South of Knowle (Arden Triangle)	Policies	Мар			
4. Do you consider the Local Plan						
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No			
4.(2) Sound	Yes		No	X		
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No			
Please tick as appropriate						
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.  If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.  As promoters of approximately half of site KN2, we support the principle of the site being removed from the Green Belt and put forward as a positive allocation of land for housing.						
We do however raise a number of detailed issues in relation to detailed matters in respect of the draft allocation.						
The Concept Master Plan, referenced at Criteria 2 to the policy, continues to evolve and remains an iterative draft with further evolution anticipated before the Local Plan Review is examined. Important landscape features continue to be identified, and the setting of heritage assets is not as yet fixed. This should be clarified as being for indicative purposes and that a final masterplan will be formulated as part of the development management process.						
The provision of open space and how and where this could be located for example in relation to the Local Wildlife Site is to be explored further.						
So far as Criteria 3, infrastructure requirements should also refer to the provision of 40% affordable housing in accordance with Policy P4A.						
So far as Criteria 4, the extent of Green Belt enhancements will need to be considered once further work is concluded in relation to the Concept Master Plan and the position is settled upon in terms of on-site mitigatory measures.						

The provisions of Criteria 5 are noted vis a vis the Concept Master Plan being the possible subject of change in light of further work that may need to be carried out; this is work that continues to be carried out in the context of the Local Plan Review as well as potentially additional work in the context of a future planning application.

In relation to the Arden Academy and the provision of a through school, we have raised a series of concerns over an extensive period as to the extent to which evidence supports the re-provision of the Arden Academy and whether this is a necessary infrastructure requirement arising from the draft allocation. We have invited the Council to clarify their position in relation to these matters, most recently by email dated 8th December 2020 appended to these Representations. Once the Council have responded, we can continue to discuss the form of the draft allocation which we anticipate being able to conclude in readiness for the examination hearing sessions.

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

See comments above – modifications to be discussed as draft allocation progresses.

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					
We raise serious issues which go to the heart of soundness. submissions are best reviewed orally, along with other particle be making similar representations, to best assist the Inspect to whether the Local Plan Review should proceed to adopt	icipants who wil tor(s) in coming	l no doubt to a view as			
<b>Please note</b> the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.					
9. Signature:	Date:	14.12.20			